1		WASHINGTON DI	EPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY			
2						
3						
4						
5	IN THE M	IATTER OF:	]			
6			]			
7		user Paper Company and	] PSD 97-01, AMENDMENT 1			
8		<b>Pacific Paper Corporation</b>	] DRAFT APPROVAL			
9	-	Manufacturing Facility	OF PSD APPLICATION			
10		strial Way	j			
11	P.O. Box 1					
12	Longview,	WA 98632	J			
13						
14	Dungan and da	the U.C. Environmental Duston	tion A construction of the Drovention of			
15			tion Agency (EPA) regulations for the Prevention of , Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 52, the			
16 17			WAC 173-400-141, and based upon the complete			
18			D) Application submitted by the Weyerhaeuser Paper			
19			echnical analysis performed by the Department of			
20		Ecology), Ecology now finds the	• •			
21	Leology (L	eology), Leology now imas the	ionowing.			
22	FINDING	$\mathbf{S}$				
23						
24	1. The No	orth Pacific Paper Corporation (1	NORPAC) facility is a newsprint manufacturing			
25	facility	which consists of three newspri	nt paper machines, a thermo-mechanical pulping			
26			s. The two paper machines and TMP operations that			
27	compri	se the NORPAC I and II project	s are covered under this PSD approval. The No. 3			
28	-	0 1	re part of the NORPAC III project, are not subject to			
29			his approval. The NORPAC I and II projects have the			
30			sprint at a combined production rate of 540,000 air-			
31	dried m	netric tons per year. Principal po	pint sources of air contaminant emissions are:			
32						
33	1.1					
34	1.2	Bleach Tower Exhausts (2);				
35	1.3	TMP Decker Exhausts (2);				
36	1.4	No. 2 Spray Condenser Exh				
37	1.5		um Vent, Wet End Vents and Exhausts, and Dryer			
38	1.6	Vents, and	West West End Vents on J E-1			
39	1.6	-	m Vent, Wet End Vents and Exhausts, and Dryer			
40		Vents.				
41 42	2 In 1077	7 Feelogy issued droft and final	environmental impact statements (EIS)			
+4	<b>∠.</b> 111 1 7 / /	, Leology issued diait and illiai	environmental impact statements (E13)			

concerning the NORPAC I project. NORPAC submitted a Notice of Construction

application to the Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority (SWAPCA) on February 1,

construction of the NORPAC I project. At that time, SWAPCA's Order of Approval was the

1978. On March 16, 1978, SWAPCA issued Order of Approval No. 78-326 allowing

43

44

45

DRAFT PSD Permit PSD 97-01 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC February 12, 2004 Page 2 of 8

only state or local air quality permit or approval required to allow commencement of construction of NORPAC I.

3. NORPAC II essentially duplicated the NORPAC I project. In July 1979, Ecology issued a declaration of significance in regard to the NORPAC II, referring to the 1977 EIS for the NORPAC I project. NORPAC submitted a Notice of Construction application to SWAPCA in July 1979. On August 23, 1979, SWAPCA issued Order of Approval No. 79-475 allowing construction of the NORPAC II project. At that time, SWAPCA's Order of Approval was the only state or local air quality permit or approval required allowing commencement of construction of NORPAC II.

4. PSD-97-01 was issued on December 9, 1997. The emission limits were based upon the combined production of 540,000 Air Dried Metric Tons (ADMT) of newsprint per year. This permit accounted for 515,000 ADMT of normal brightness paper per year and 25,000 ADMT of high brightness paper. These production rates were based on the paper grade production mix anticipated at the time to satisfy future market demand for varying paper basis weight and brightness specifications. Thermo-Mechanical Pulping (TMP) fiber production required to support these paper production levels was projected to be 415,307 Bone Dry Metric Tons (BDMT) of normal brightness TMP pulp and 22,750 BDMT of high brightness TMP pulp per year. The permit effectively limited high brightness pulp and paper production as a percentage of total pulp and paper production, and limited total pulp and paper production based on projected grade basis weight mix.

5. Today's action does not involve a physical change to allow increased total or high brightness pulp and paper production. Rather, it allows for operating flexibility to produce increased volumes of high-brightness and high basis weight paper grades. These grades may now represent up to 100% of total production. Annual potential production rates for the highest production rate grades are 542,117 BDMT/year of TMP pulp and 623,685 ADMT/year of paper. Emission limits are based on these maximum potential production rates, rather than on the projected actual production rates for the grade mix anticipated to meet future market demand.

6. The Weyerhaeuser, Longview mill complex qualifies as a major source of air pollutants because it is listed as a major stationary source under Title 40, Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 51, Section 166, paragraph (b)(l)(i)(a) and has the potential to emit more than 100 tons per year of several pollutants.

7. This project qualifies as a major modification of the Weyerhaeuser, Longview mill complex because emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC's) and carbon monoxide will increase by more than 40 and 100 tons per year respectfully.

8. The site of the modification is within an area designated Class II for the purposes of PSD evaluation under 40 CFR 52.21 as amended through January 1, 1995.

93 94

95 96

97

98

99 100

101

102103

104

105106

107108

109110

111112

113114

- 9. The site of the proposed modification is within an area, which is in attainment for all pollutants regulated by state and national ambient air quality standards.
  - 10. The emissions of CO and VOC's from the major modification have "net significant decreases" and are therefore NOT subject to PSD review. A netting analysis was performed over the 5-year contemporaneous period immediately preceding the submittal of this application. After netting emissions of CO and VOC it was determined that emissions did not exceed the PSD significance rates. All the Emission reductions were made to be federally enforceable by the issuance of Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's). This analysis is shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Netting Analysis

Year	Project Name	Creditable Emissions Increases & Decreases (tpy)		
		VOC	CO	
1998	East Powerhouse Shutdown	$(170.00)^*$	$(1,651.0)^*$	
1998	Kraft Mill Modernization PSD Update	0.0	0.0	
2000	Package Boiler 8N Shutdown	(0.03)**	(16.5)**	
2000	NORPAC PM No. 1 Sectional Drive	1.9	0.0	
2000	NORPAC PM No. 1 Flat Box	0.9	0.5	
2000	Saltcake Receiving & Storage	0.0	0.0	
2001	2001 NORPAC Deink Improvement Project		0.0	
2001	NORPAC PM No. 2 Dryer Improvements	1.0	0.0	
2001	Kraft Optimization Project	3.9	0.0	
2003	Request for Permit Change PSD-97-01	97.3	810.4	
	Net Emission Change			
	PSD Significance Level			
	Exceeds Significance Level			
	PSD Review Required			

<sup>\*</sup> East Powerhouse ERC's were issued in Order No. DE98-AQ-1049

- 11. The emissions of all other air pollutants from the modifications were subject to new source review by Ecology's Industrial Section.
- 12. A Best Available Control Technology (BACT) analysis was not performed because there was no net emissions increase in PSD pollutants.
- 13. This permit supersedes PSD-97-01 issued on December 9, 1997.
- 14. Visibility impairment will not be perceptibly increased in any Class I area.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Package Boiler 8N ERC's were issued in Order No. DE00-AQIS-1427

DRAFT PSD Permit PSD 97-01 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC February 12, 2004 Page 4 of 8

123

- 15. Allowable emissions increases from the new and modified emissions units, in conjunction
  with all other applicable emissions increases or reductions (including secondary emissions),
  will not cause or contribute to air pollution in violation of:

  19
- 120 15.1 Any national ambient air quality standard.
- 121 Any applicable maximum allowable increase over the baseline concentration in any area.
- 124 16. No noticeable effect on industrial, commercial, or residential growth in the Longview area is anticipated due to the project.
- 17. Ecology finds that all requirements for PSD are satisfied and that as approved below, the new and modified emissions units comply with all applicable federal new source performance standards. Approval of the PSD application and notice of construction are granted subject to the following conditions.

DRAFT PSD Permit PSD 97-01 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC February 12, 2004 Page 5 of 8

## PSD APPROVAL CONDITIONS

- 134 1. VOC emissions from NORPAC I and II units shall not exceed 6,448 pounds per day when averaged over 30-consecutive days.
  - 1.1. Compliance with the daily VOC emission limit shall be assured by computing daily VOC emission rate from the NORPAC I and II units.
  - 1.2. Daily VOC emission rate shall be computed by summing daily production rates multiplied by the appropriate daily emission factors contained in *Table 2. NORPAC I & II VOC Emission Factors* of this permit. Such calculations shall account for all operations at each operating condition during each day of operation.

- 2. VOC emissions from the NORPAC I and II units shall not exceed 927.3 tons per year when averaged over any consecutive 12-month period.
  - 2.1. Compliance with the annual emissions limit shall be assured by the summation of monthly-calculated emission rates over the calendar year.
  - 2.2. Monthly emissions shall be calculated by multiplying monthly TMP and Paper Machine production values under each operating condition by the appropriate emission factors from *Table 2* then summing the products. Such calculations shall account for all operations at each operating condition during the calendar month.

- 3. CO emissions from the NORPAC II units (TMP II and Paper Machine No. 2) shall not exceed 891.4 tons per year when averaged over any consecutive 12-month period.
  - 3.1. Compliance with the annual emissions limit shall be assured by the summation of monthly-calculated emission rates over the calendar year.
  - 3.2. Monthly emissions shall be calculated by multiplying monthly TMPII production values under each operating condition by the appropriate emission factors from *Table 3*. *NORPAC TMP 1 & II CO Emission Factors* of this permit, then summing the products. Such calculations shall account for all operations at each operating condition during the calendar month.

- 4. Weyerhaeuser shall conduct source tests of the NORPAC I and II units for VOC and CO to be performed by an independent testing firm.
  - 4.1. The emission points tested shall include those tested in the 1994 emission evaluation program and serve as the basis for the refinement of emission factor summaries in Tables 2 and 3 of this permit.
  - 4.2. Source testing shall be conducted once every three years commencing within 180 days of achieving > 20% high brightness production but not later than 2005.
  - 4.3. Weyerhaeuser shall consult with Ecology to determine if the emission factors listed in Tables 2 and 3 of this permit should be updated.

5. The objective for this source testing is to verify the reasonableness of the emission factors developed in the 2002 emission evaluation program. Should there be a significant deviation in the emission factors developed from subsequent testing ( $\pm$  20%), either Ecology or Weyerhaeuser may initiate a technical discussion on the need to amend the factors present in

DRAFT PSD Permit PSD 97-01 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC February 12, 2004 Page 6 of 8

178

182

183

184 185

186

187

188

189

190 191

192

193 194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206207

211

212

213

- Tables 2 and 3 of this permit, Ecology may require amendment of the emission factors in Tables 2 and 3.
- 6. All source tests shall be conducted in accordance with the appropriate methods set forth in Title 40 Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 60, Appendix A as amended through July 1, 1996, or later.
  - 6.1. Each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method, with the overall test result to be an arithmetic average of the results of the three test runs, in accordance with 40 CFR 60.8(f).
  - 6.2. A test plan shall be submitted for Ecology's approval at least 30 days prior to the testing.
  - 6.3. The initial tests and test methods shall include, but may not be limited to, the following:
    - 6.3.1. Sampling location and in-stack points as measured by Reference Method 1.
    - 6.3.2. Stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate as measured by Reference Method 2.
    - 6.3.3. Carbon monoxide as measured by Reference Method 10.
    - 6.3.4. Volatile organic compounds, as measured by Reference Methods 25, 25A, or 25B, modified as necessary to handle high moisture content. Any moisture removed as a result of such modification shall be analyzed for VOCs, which shall be accounted for.
  - 6.4. Any deviation from the above test methods must be agreed to by Ecology in the test plan.
  - 7. Weyerhaeuser shall maintain records of emissions calculations, which will include:
    - 7.1. The quantities of high brightness and normal brightness TMP pulp and paper produced during the month;
    - 7.2. The number of hours each month that pulp was produced while the Reboiler was down and the estimated quantity of TMP pulp produced during reboiler downtime;
    - 7.3. The approximate percentages of wood specie types pulped during the month; and
    - 7.4. The appropriate emission factors from Tables 2 and 3.
    - 7.5 Weyerhaeuser shall report to Ecology, in a manner approved by Ecology, the monthly cumulative total VOC and CO emissions, in units of the standard, in the "monthly air report," in accordance with the requirements of WAC 173-401-615.
- 8. Each occurrence of calculated emissions in excess of limits contained in this PSD approval shall be reported at least monthly within thirty days of the end of each calendar month to Ecology. The information shall include, but not limited to, the following:
  - 8.1. The time of the occurrence.
  - 8.2. Magnitude of the emission or process parameters excess.
- 214 8.3. The duration of the excess.
- 215 8.4. The probable cause.
- 216 8.5. Any corrective actions taken or planned.
- 9. Weyerhaeuser shall submit to Ecology a report presenting the findings from a study that estimates VOC emissions from the de-ink mill and effluent treatment and sewer system

DRAFT PSD Permit PSD 97-01 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC February 12, 2004 Page 7 of 8

263264

220 within 180 days of the effective date of this permit. The purpose of this study is to estimate VOC emissions from these sources. 221 222 223 10. Any activity that is undertaken by Weyerhaeuser or others, in a manner that is inconsistent with the application and this determination, shall be subject to Ecology enforcement under 224 applicable regulations. Nothing in this determination shall be construed so as to relieve 225 Weyerhaeuser of its obligations under any state, local, or federal laws or regulations. 226 227 11. Access to the source by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Ecology, or local 228 229 regulatory personnel shall be permitted upon request for the purpose of compliance assurance inspections. Failure to allow access is grounds for action under the Federal Clean Air Act or 230 the Washington Clean Air Act. 231 232 12. Within 90 days of permit issuance Weyerhaeuser NORPAC shall identify operational 233 parameters and practices that will constitute proper operation of each emission unit in 234 235 NORPAC 1 or 2. These operational parameters and practices shall be included in an O&M manual for the facility. The O&M manual shall be maintained and followed by 236 Weyerhaeuser NORPAC and shall be available for review by Ecology and EPA. Emissions 237 that result from a failure to follow the requirements of the O&M manual may be considered 238 credible evidence that emission violations have occurred. Ecology shall be notified whenever 239 the manual is updated. 240 241 242 243 Reviewed by: 244 245 246 247 248 Richard B. Hibbard, P.E. Date 249 **Project Engineer** 250 Air Quality Program 251 Washington Department of Ecology 252 253 254 Approved by: 255 256 257 258 259 Mary E. Burg, Program Manager Date 260 Air Quality Program 261 Washington Department of Ecology 262

Table 2. NORPAC I & II VOC Emission Factors, Daily and Annual Emissions Rates

	Estimated	Estimated	, ,	VOC	VOC
Equipment	Maximum	Maximum	Emission	Emissions	<b>Emissions</b>
1 1	Production	Production	Factor	(Pounds per	(Tons per
	Rate (daily)	Rate (yearly)		Day)	Year)
TMP # 1	Pulp	Pulp	1.489	1,243	203.1
	production,	production,	(lb/BDMT)		
	830	271,059			
	(BDMT/day)	(BDMT/year)			
TMP # 1	Pulp	Pulp	0.241	200	1.6
Reboiler	production,	production,	(lb/BDMT)		
Down	830	13,553			
	(BDMT/day)	(BDMT/year)			
TMP # 2	Pulp	Pulp	1.498	1,198	203.1
	production,	production,	(lb/BDMT)		
	800	271,059			
	(BDMT/day)	(BDMT/year)			
TMP # 2	Pulp	Pulp	0.241	193	1.6
Reboiler	production,	production,	(lb/BDMT)		
Down	800	13,553			
	(BDMT/day)	(BDMT/year)			
PM # 1	Gross	Gross	1.661	1,661	224.2
	Product,	Product,	(lb/ADMT)		
	1,000	270,000			
	(ADMT/day)	(ADMT/year)			
PM # 2	Gross	Gross	1.661	1,993	293.7
	Product,	Product,	(lb/ADMT)		
	1,200	353,685			
	(ADMT/day)	(ADMT/year)			
	TOTAL VOC	EMISSIONS		6,448	927.3

**Table 3. NORPAC TMP II CO Emission Factors and Annual Emission Rates** 

Equipment	<b>Estimated Maximum</b>	<b>Emission Factor</b>	CO Emissions
	<b>Production Rate</b>		(Tons per Year)
TMP # 1	Pulp production,	3.284 (lb/BDMT	445.1
	271,059 (BDMT/year)	pulp)	
TMP # 2	Pulp production,	3.284 (lb/BDMT	445.1
	271,059 (BDMT/year)	pulp)	
PM # 1	360 (MMcuft/year)	3.45 (lb/MMcuft	0.6
		Natural Gas)	
PM # 2	321 (MMcuft/year)	3.45 (lb/MMcuft	0.6
		Natural Gas)	
TOTAL NORPA	891.4		

266